

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,527	03/09/2004	Takao Mori	3712174.00478	1944
29175 K&L Gates LI	7590 01/31/201 P	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 113	35	LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT		
CHICAGO, IL	. 60690		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1716	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/31/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

chicago.patents@klgates.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/796,527	MORI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrie R. Lund	1716	
	10/796,527 Examiner	10/796,527 MORI ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

	Jeffrie R. Lund	1716	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	tvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (to MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f)		FIRST REPLY WAS FII	LED WITHIN TW
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date in have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of surface of the control of the	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) a:
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed will AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	nnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		iipilani Ameriameni (i	101-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the work the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 11.14-16.18-21.25 and 26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 27-31.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	I and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	/Jeffrie R. Lund/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1716		

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments do not overcome the rejections of record. The arguments are piecemeal attacks on individual references and do not consider the teachings of all the clied references as a whole. All of the clied art is capable of processing any substrate including semiconductor wafers or electrouninescence displays. The limitation "fixture holder is broad and includes any structure that is capable of holding the attachment fixture. Clied any structure for the fixture holder nor has the Applicant pointed out how a wafer boat is not a fixture holder or that the wafer boat is not acquable of holding the attachment fixture. Clied in Endude in the rejection. The limitation is taught waffin element 4. Claims 27-31 contain elements that are not addressed in claim 11 or its dependent claims, thus the invention of claim 11 is different than the invention of claim 27 and the restriction is proper.